

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

E*TRADE SAVINGS BANK and)
E*TRADE MORTGAGE CORPORATION)
))
Plaintiffs,)
vs.) **Civil Action No. 07 CIV 8065**
))
NATIONAL SETTLEMENT AGENCY,)
INC.; FAST TRACK TITLE AGENCY)
LLC; STEVEN M. LEFF; RACHEL M.)
LEFF; and RICHARD A. LEFF,)
))
Defendants.)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION		1
PROCEDURAL HISTORY		2
ARGUMENT		3
I.	Under The New Pleading Standard Set Forth In Bell Atlantic, Plaintiff Must Plead Sufficient Facts To State A Plausible Claim.	3
A.	Plaintiffs Failed To Plead Sufficient Facts Under The New Bell Atlantic Standard	4
B.	Plaintiffs' Allegations Based "Upon Information And Belief" Do Not Satisfy The Bell Atlantic Standard	5
II.	Plaintiffs Failed To Sufficiently Allege That Fast Track Is The Alter Ego Of NSA	6
A.	Plaintiffs' Alter Ego Allegations Are Insufficient Under Bell Atlantic	6
B.	Plaintiffs Failed to Satisfy The Two-Prong Test To Establish Alter Ego Liability	7
1.	Plaintiffs' Bare, Conclusory Allegations Do Not Satisfy The Two-Prong Test To Impose Liability Under An Alter Ego Theory	7
2.	Plaintiffs' Speculative Allegations Based "Upon Information And Belief" Do Not Satisfy The Test To Establish Alter Ego Liability	9

III.	The Individual Claims In Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Are Deficient For Additional Reasons		11
	A.	Plaintiffs' Breach Of Contract Claim Against Fast Track Cannot Survive A Motion To Dismiss.	11
	B.	Plaintiffs' Allegations Based "Upon Information And Belief" Are Insufficient To Plead A Cause of Action For Conversion.	12
	C.	Plaintiffs' Breach Of Fiduciary Duty Claim Does Nothing More Than Regurgitate The Requisite Elements.	15
	D.	Plaintiffs' Allegations Fail To Meet The Heightened Pleading Standards Required For Fraud	16
	1.	Plaintiffs Have Not Met The Heightened Pleading Standard Required To Sustain A Claim For Fraud	16
	2.	Plaintiffs Have Not Met The Heightened Pleading Standard Required To Sustain A Claim For Fraud	17
	3.	Plaintiffs' Allegations Of Scienter Are Speculative	18
	4.	Plaintiffs' Allegations of Damages Are Insufficient	18
	5.	Plaintiffs' Fraud Claim Is Duplicative of Its Breach of Contract Claim	19
	E.	In Addition To Failing To Meet The <i>Bell Atlantic</i> Pleading Standard And Sufficiently Alleging That NSA And Fast Track Are Alter Egos, Plaintiffs' Negligence Claim Must Fail For Several Reasons	20
	F.	Plaintiffs' Claim For Unjust Enrichment Must Be Dismissed	20
	G.	Plaintiffs' Claim For Money Had And Received Must Be Dismissed	21
CONCLUSION			23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>CASES</u>	<u>Page</u>
<i>Abelman v. Shoratlantic Dev. Co.</i> , 153 A.D.2d 821, 545 N.Y.S.2d 333 (N.Y. App. Div. 1989)	6
<i>Abdelhamid v. Altria Group, Inc.</i> , 515 F. Supp. 2d 384 (S.D.N.Y. 2007)	3
<i>Ad Rendon Communications, Inc. v. Lumina Americas, Inc.</i> , No. 04-CV-8832, 2007 WL 2962591 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 10, 2007)	12, 13, 14
<i>Airlines Reporting Corp. v. Arrow Voyagers, Inc.</i> , 721 F. Supp 579 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)	17
<i>Aktieskelskalset v. Fame Jeans</i> , 2007 WL 1655877 (D.D.C. June 7, 2007)	5
<i>Allied Irish Banks v. Bank of America, N.A.</i> , No. 03 CIV 3748, 2006 WL 278138 (S.D.N.Y. 2006)	22
<i>American Mayflower Life Ins. Co. of New York v. Moskowitz</i> , 17 A.D.3d 289, 794 N.Y.S.2d 32 (N.Y. 2005)	21, 22
<i>American Protein Corp. v. AB Volvo</i> , 844 F. 2d 56 (2d Cir. 1998)	7, 8
<i>Bell Atlantic Corporation v. Twombly</i> , 127 S. Ct. 1955 (May 21, 2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Bonanni v. Straight Arrow Publishers</i> , 133 A.D.2d 585, 520 N.Y.S.2d 7 (N.Y. App. Div. 1987)	7
<i>Camofi Master LDC v. College Partnership</i> , 452 F. Supp. 2d 462 (S.D.N.Y. 2006)	8
<i>Carlucci v. Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corp.</i> , 646 F. Supp. 1486 (E.D.N.Y. 1986)	17, 18
<i>Clark-Fitzpatrick, Inc. v. Long Island P. Co.</i> , 70 N.Y.2d 382, 516 N.E.2d 190 (N.Y. 1980)	20
<i>Coastal State v. Zenith</i> , 446 F. Supp. 330 (D.C.N.Y. 1977)	7, 8

<i>Command Cinema Corp. v. VCA Labs, Inc.</i> , 464 F. Supp. 2d 191 (S.D.N.Y. 2006)	13
<i>Conley v. Gibson</i> , 355 U.S. 41 (1957)	3
<i>DiVittorio v. Equidyne Extractive Indus., Inc.</i> , 822 F.2d 1242 (2d Cir. 1987)	17
<i>In re Elevator Antitrust Litig.</i> , 502 F.3d 47 (2d Cir. 2007)	5
<i>Feeseha v. TD Waterhouse Investor Services, Inc.</i> , 193 Misc. 2d 747, 253 N.Y.S.2d 676 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2002)	13, 16, 22
<i>Goldstein v. Pataki</i> , No. 07-2537-cv, 2008 WL 269100 (2d Cir. Feb. 1, 2008)	3
<i>Kashfi v. Phibro-Salomon, Inc.</i> , 628 F. Supp. 727 (S.D.N.Y. 1986)	7
<i>William Kaufman Org. v. Graham & James LLP</i> , 269 A.D.2d 171, 703 N.Y.S.2d 439 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2000)	15
<i>Kurtzman v. Bergstol</i> , 40 A.D.3d 588, 835 N.Y.S.2d 644 (2007)	15
<i>Leather v. U.S. Trust Co. of New York</i> , 279 A.D.2d 311, 720 N.Y.S.2d 448 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2001)	16
<i>Lombard v. Booz-Allen and Hamilton, Inc.</i> , 280 F.3d 209 (3d Cir. 2002)	20
<i>Moses v. Martin</i> , 360 F. Supp. 2d 533 (S.D.N.Y. 2004)	12
<i>New York State Teamsters Conference Pension & Retirement Fund v. Hoh</i> , 554 F. Supp. 519 (N.D.N.Y. 1982)	7, 9
<i>Old Republic Insurance Co. v. Hansa World Cargo Serv., Inc.</i> , 170 F.R.D. 361 (S.D.N.Y. 1997)	9, 16, 17
<i>Orange County Choppers, Inc. v. Olaes Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 497 F. Supp. 2d 541 (S.D.N.Y. 2007)	21

<i>Payday Advance Plus, Inc. v. Find What.com, Inc.</i> , 478 F. Supp. 2d 496 (S.D.N.Y. 2007)	20
<i>Phoenix Garden Restaurant, Inc. v. Chu</i> , 245 A.D.2d 164, 667 N.Y.S.2d 20 (1st Dept. 1997)	22
<i>R.H. Damon & Co. v. Softkey Software Products, Inc.</i> , 811 F. Supp. 986 (S.D.N.Y. 1993)	11
<i>Reade v. SL Green Operating P'ship</i> , 817 N.Y.S.2d 230 (App. Div. 2006)	13
<i>Sofi Classics S.A. Dec. C.V. v. Hurowitz</i> , 444 F. Supp. 2d 231 (S.D.N.Y. 2006)	19, 21
<i>Strojmaterialintorg v. Russian Am. Commercial Corp.</i> , 815 F. Supp. 103 (E.D.N.Y. 1993)	14
<i>Thyroff v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co.</i> , 460 F.3d 400 (2d Cir. 2006)	12
<i>Zaro Licensing, Inc. v. Cinmar, Inc.</i> , 779 F. Supp. 276 (S.D.N.Y. 1991)	17, 18, 19
<i>Zinaman v. USTS New York, Inc.</i> , 798 F. Supp. 128 (S.D.N.Y. 1992)	7, 9
<i>Wausau Bus. Ins. Co. v. Turner Constr. Co.</i> , 141 F. Supp. 2d 412 (S.D.N.Y. 2001)	8
<i>Wexner v. First Manhattan Co.</i> , 902 F. 2d 169 (2d Cir. 1990)	18
<i>Yeterian v. Heather Mills N.V. Inc.</i> , 183 A.D.2d 493, 583 N.Y.S.2d 439 (N.Y. App. Div. 1992)	13